



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



ERASMUS+ CBHE PROJECT # 585760-EPP-1-2017-1-AM-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

**“CHANGE IN CLASSROOM: PROMOTING INNOVATIVE TEACHING &
LEARNING TO ENHANCE STUDENT LEARNING EXPERIENCE IN EASTERN
PARTNERSHIP COUNTRIES”, PRINTeL**

Tbilisi Dissemination Conference

EVALUATION ANALYSIS REPORT

Results of the Evaluation Feedback Survey of Tbilisi Dissemination Conference

Conducted in ILIA STATE UNIVERSITY

13-14 November, 2019

Contents

1. Introduction -----	3
2. Usefulness of Pre-meeting information provided by the organizers -----	4
3. Rating of the 1 st day events on 13 th November, 2019	
o Welcome addresses -----	5
o Introduction of the conference workshop -----	5
o Plenary session 1: Hybrid/Blended Teaching & Learning -----	6
o Plenary session 2: Video as a Learning tool for teachers & students: Video Lecturing & promoting Interaction in the Classroom -----	7
o Plenary session 3: Active Learning with special focus on Technology Enhanced Collaborative Learning -----	8
o Plenary Session 4: Active Learning in the Flipped Classroom -----	8
o Plenary Session 5: Active learning & ICT-enhanced teaching: M-learning & gamification -----	9
o Closing of the first day – key findings -----	9
4. Rating of the 2 nd day events on 14 th November, 2019	
o Training Outcomes from Trained Faculty Members and students Perspective -----	10
o TSDC Experience -----	11
o Closing and final remarks -----	12
5. Opportunities for networking during the conference -----	13
6. Overall Evaluation of PRINTeL Tbilisi Dissemination Conference -----	13
7. Evaluation of catering service and cultural events in accordance with participants’ expectations -----	14
8. Additional comments and suggestions -----	15

International conference workshop of the PRINTeL Project (CHANGE IN CLASSROOM: PROMOTING INNOVATIVE TEACHING & LEARNING TO ENHANCE STUDENT LEARNING EXPERIENCE IN EASTERN PARTNERSHIP COUNTRIES) was held at Ilia State University from 13 to 14 November 2019, participated by the following project partner universities:

- Georgian Technical University
- Iakob Gogebashvili Telavi State University
- Yerevan State University
- National Polytechnic University of Armenia
- Vanadzor State University after H. Tumanyan (Armenia)
- National Center for Strategic Research in Higher Education (Armenia)
- Belarusian State University (Republic of Belarus)
- Brest State Technical University (Republic of Belarus)
- Yanka Kupala State University of Grodno (Republic of Belarus)
- Universitat De Barcelona (Spain)
- Linkopings Universitet (Sweden)
- Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (Belgium)
- FH Joanneum Gesellschaft mbh (Austria)
-


The aim of the conference was to evaluate the effectiveness of the trainings held within the framework of the project as well as the results gained and challenges identified.

The following analysis of the evaluation survey results is based on the feedback received from 32 participants. The survey was conducted by means of using an online survey form.

The first question of the survey concerning the usefulness of the pre-meeting information provided by the organizers was evaluated by 65.6% of the participants as “very useful”, 5% of the participants gave the mark “useful”, 3.1% evaluated as “quite useful” and 6.3% expressed “no opinion”.


1. How useful do you find the pre-meeting information provided by the organisers?

32 responses




On the first day of conference welcome addresses were provided by the Vice-Rector of Ilia State University, Ms. Nino Doborjginidze, Erasmus+ Tbilisi office representative, Ms. Tata Lortkipanidze, and the Head of Development Office, ISU, Mrs. Ketevan Darakhvelidze.

The “welcome addresses” received from 78% of the participants the mark “fulfilled my expectations”, the mark “exceeded my expectations” from 10% of the participants, “partly fulfilled my expectations” from 6% of the participants and “no opinion/did not attend” from 6% of the participants.




After, Project Coordinator, Mr. Armen Budaghyan presented the frame of the conference and 81% of participants marked “fulfilled my expectations”, about 16% marked “exceeded my expectations and 3% had marked “no opinion”



5 sessions were presented about ToT courses conducted on each partner universities. Each session had a Chair from European partners and 2 moderators from Georgian, Belarusian and Armenian universities.


- The First Plenary Session was about Hybrid/Blended Teaching & Learning, Chair was Ms. Sandra Meier – Lecturer and Project Manager – FHJ, the Moderators were Ms. Anna Alexanian – Associated Professor – YSU and Ms. Tamar Mikeladze – Associated Professor – Tesau.

25% of participants marked “exceeded my expectations”, 63% marked “fulfilled my expectations”, 9% marked “partly fulfilled my expectations” and 3% of participants marked “no opinion/Did not attend”




- The Second Plenary Session was dedicated to “Video as a Learning tool for teachers & students: Video Lecturing & promoting Interaction in the Classroom”. The plenary session was moderated by Ms. Sviatlana Hanchar – Head of Department of Foreign Languages, YKSUG and Ms. Dali Sekhniashvili- Ass. Prof. Faculty of Engineering, Economics, Media, Technology and Social Sciences, GTU. Chair was Mr. Aleksandre Ergeshidze, Associate Professor, ISU. 25% of participants marked “exceeded my expectations”, 63% marked “fulfilled my expectations”, 9% marked “partly fulfilled my expectations” and 3% of participants marked “no opinion/Did not attend”.


Plenary Session 2: Video as a learning tool for teachers and students: Video Lecturing & promoting Interaction in the Classroom



- The third Plenary Session was about “Active Learning with special focus on Technology Enhanced Collaborative Learning”, Chair was Mr. Chris van Keer – Professor, KU Leuven, the Moderators were Mr. Konstantin Mulyarchik – Associate Professor, BSU and Ms. Tamari Kupreishvili - Lecturer at the Department of Political and International Relations , GTU.
19% of participants marked “exceeded my expectations”, 72% marked “fulfilled my expectations”, 6% marked “partly fulfilled my expectations” and 3% of participants marked “no opinion/Did not attend”.




- Plenary Session N4 was dedicated to “Active learning in the flipped classroom” The Plenary Session was moderated by Mr. Menua Soghomonyan – Associated Professor, YSU and Mr. Levan Tsagareli – Professor – ISU. Chair was Ms. Gunvor Larsson Torstendsdotter – Head of Didacticum, LiU.
The “Active learning in the flipped classroom” received from 69% of the participants the mark “fulfilled my expectations”, the mark “exceeded my expectations” from 28% of the participants, and “did not fulfill my expectations” from 3% of the participants.




- The Fifth Plenary Session was about “Active learning & ICT-enhanced teaching: M-learning & gamification” which was moderated by Ms. Tatsiana Lisouskaya – Head of Department of Humanitarian Science, BrSTU and Ms. Tamar Magalashvili – Associate Professor, ISU. Chair of the session was Mr. Joan-Tomas Pujola - Tenured University Lecturer – UB.

22% of participants marked “exceeded my expectations”, 69% marked “fulfilled my expectations”, 3% marked “did not fulfill my expectations” and 6% of participants marked “no opinion/Did not attend”.




At the end of the first day, Participant from LiU, Gunvor Larsson Torstendsdotter summarized the first days sessions and closed the conference.

22% of participants marked “exceeded my expectations”, 63% marked “fulfilled my expectations”, 9% marked “partly fulfilled my expectations” and 6% of participants marked “no opinion/Did not attend”.







The Second day of the Conference was opened with discussion about Training Outcomes from Trained Faculty Members and students Perspective. Participant professors and teaching staff involved in the learning process touched upon the effectiveness, benefits and practical application of the trainings; they also dealt with the future plans and voiced their desires and recommendations about the sustainability of the trainings. The students who took the courses through the method were welcome participants of the sessions. The session was moderated by Mr. Giga Khositashvili – Invited Lecturer, ISU and Ms. Arusyak Abrahamyan - Assistant Professor, NPUA.




For 25% of Participants, this session Exceeded the expectations, for 66% - Fulfilled expectations, for 6% Partly fulfilled the expectations and 3% marked “no opinion/Did not attend”.

The second session was dedicated to the best Practices of Teaching staff development centers in European Partner Universities. European partner universities talked over the training center models practiced by them to share the experience with the conference participants. The session was moderated by Ms. Elene Zhuravliova, Associate Professor, ISU. During the session, participants of EU Partner Universities shared their experience and discussed the opportunities and challenges with auditory.




Overall, the results are positive and participants are satisfied with the shared information. As representative from U.PORTO could not attend the conference, participants had not received the information about TSDC Experience from University of Porto.

At the end of the second session, Project Coordinator, Armen Budaghyan summarized and closed the 2-day dissemination conference with final remarks




The “Closing and final remarks presented by the Project Coordinator” received from 75% of the participants the mark “fulfilled my expectations”, the mark “exceeded my expectations” from 13% of the participants, “partly fulfilled my expectations” from 3% of the participants and “no opinion/did not attend” from 9% of the participants.


The Participants also evaluated Networking opportunities during the Tbilisi Dissemination Conference. Results show that 60% thinks that the opportunity was very useful; 34% marked “useful” and 3%-3% marked “Quite useful” and “No Opinion”.




The question relating to the participants’ level of satisfaction with the Tbilisi Dissemination conference held at ISU received the following feedback from the participants: 66% of the participants were very satisfied with the conference and 34% of them were satisfied.




During The 2-days conference, ISU offered to participants 3 coffee-breaks and 1 Lunch. Here are the feedbacks from them:



The Gala Dinner at Restaurant “Qalaqi” on 13th of November, received the following feedback from the participants: 47% of them evaluated the item as “exceeded my expectations”, 16% as “fulfilled my expectations”, 6% as “did not fulfill my expectations” and 31% had no opinion or did not attend.



The Wine Tour to Chateau Mukhrani was evaluated by 12% of the participants as “fulfilled my expectations”, by 19% of them as “exceeded my expectations”, by 3% as “partly fulfilled my expectations”, by 3% as Did not fulfill my expectations: and 63% of the participants had no opinion or did not attend.



At the end of the survey, the participants were asked to make comments or suggestions how to improve future meetings. Here are the comments:

It would be nice to know in advance what the lunch would look like and where the joint dinner would take place to make better decision on how to spend this time nicely.

Could be better

no comment

Pre-meeting information was quite useful.

no comments

There were too high price for meals in the conference-workshop. I would like to see more comfortable environment at the university and in the conference as general.

It would have been nice if the students (and their presentations about their experiences) would have been integrated in more depth.

Suggestion To have a room appropriate for workshop format

Well done

no comment

Practical organisation of the event was successful with all the needed facilities.

no comments

everything was amazing

To be honest: The coffee breaks were far too expensive. The food provided was excellent, but it was never worth 7€ per coffee break. Also the lunch on day 1 that cost 10€ was even not worth the price.

Could be more practice-oriented, talking about real problems that prevent the dissemination of active learning.

Practical and useful

no comment

Presentations and sessions during the conference were quite informative and most valuable.

less presentations, more discussions;

i satisfied all organization

Very well planned and conducted!!

Overall, the Tbilisi Dissemination Conference held in ISU, received positive feedback from participants, all the suggestions and remarks for future meetings will be taken into the consideration. ISU Team is glad for the opportunity to organize such an important event in the frame of “PRINTeL” Project.

PRINTeL ISU Team.
Tbilisi, 2019